

## **Record of individual Cabinet member decision**

Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Decision made	Finite Christs I and an of Valor of White I I am a District Comment
Decision made	Emily Smith, Leader of Vale of White Horse District Council
by	
Key decision?	No
l toy doctoron	
Date of	
decision	17 May 2022
(same as date form	
signed) Name and job	Jayne Bolton, Community Wellbeing Manager (Arts and Active
title of officer	Communities, Garden Communities, Grants, Health)
requesting the	Communities, Garden Communities, Grants, Fleatin)
decision	
Officer contact	Tel: 07717 271911
details	Email: <u>Jayne.bolton@southandvale.gov.uk</u>
Decision	To authorise officers to undertake procurement for, and award the
	contract to, a consultant to develop a Local Cycling and Walking
	Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) for Didcot Garden Town.
	To approve a supplementary estimate to the 2022/23 budget, fully funded
	by government funding allocated for Didcot Garden Town (DGT).
	by government funding anocated for blacot Garden Town (bGT).
Reasons for	Planning and delivering an enhanced cycling network has been a priority
decision	within the DGT programme since 2017. To bring forward such work, the
	emerging DGT Delivery Plan establishes a project to develop and adopt a
	Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) for DGT to identify
	cycling and walking improvements required at the local level.
	The LCM/ID will adopt a green bird coop a that savers words within the
	The LCWIP will adopt a geographical scope that covers wards within the Area of Influence of the masterplan to ensure enhanced connectivity
	across the Garden Town and into and around the villages surrounding
	Didcot and the wider Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) network. The
	project will consider existing routes that need improvements, as well as
	identifying new routes for both leisure and commuting purposes. To
	ensure the project meets the needs of residents within the Garden Town,
	the vision will be community led and outcomes will cater to all abilities.
	The LCWIP will be produced in coordination with a local community
	network of interested volunteers, on top of statutory consultation, which
	will feed into initial design work for the approved LCWIP routes.
	In order to bring forward this project, the souncil will produce a consultant
	In order to bring forward this project, the council will procure a consultant to undertake professional services to produce the LCWIP document and
	to undertake professional services to produce the LOWIF document and

	relevant consultation, including the production of technical studies and research to inform recommendations. This ICMD authorises officers to procure a consultant, supported by the necessary budget to complete this project.		
Alternative options rejected	Option 1: prepare the LCWIP in-house The council does not have the resources or necessary expertise to prepare this work in-house. This option has been rejected.		
	Option 2: do nothing The preparation of an LCWIP is a priority project for DGT. Delivering an enhanced cycle network has been included in both the existing and emerging DGT Delivery Plans, with the emerging plan specifically identifying the requirement for an LCWIP. Not undertaking this work fails to deliver on key improvements and priorities for DGT and therefore, this option has been rejected.		
Climate and ecological implications	This project seeks to improve the walking and cycling network within and around Didcot which will encourage more sustainable modes of transport for residents. In doing so, it will also help to manage existing highways issues and the impact of new development sites in and around Didcot.		
Legal implications	The procurement will be undertaken via an open process through the South East Business Portal using an Invitation to Tender (ITT).		
	The council's legal team will review the ITT documentation and tailor the standard form consultancy contract for this opportunity. Thereafter to agree the final contract terms with the successful bidder and produce engrossments for execution and seal and complete documents on behalf of the council.		
Financial implications	This project will require a supplementary estimate to the 2022/23 budget which will be fully funded from existing external grant funding received for DGT. DGT grant funding received is predominantly held by South on behalf of both councils, and a proportion is held by Vale. The budget is yet to be agreed for the latest round of grant funding received.		
	This project will be funded 50/50 by South and Vale, and this ICMD gives the authority by Vale to allocate budget from DGT grant funding to cover 50 per cent of the total project cost.		
Other implications	The project meets a number of key principles in the existing DGT Delivery Plan, including:		
	<ul> <li>Transport and movement – reducing reliance on motorised vehicles and promoting a step-change towards active and public transport;</li> <li>Landscape and green infrastructure – ensuring new development enhances the natural environment, increases biodiversity and supports climate resilience using traditional measures and new technology;</li> </ul>		

			-			
	It also helps to meet the following Vale Corporate Objectives:					
	Tackling the Climate Emergency					
	Building healthy communities					
		Working in partnership				
	Working in an open and inclusive way					
Background	DGT LCWIP Project	ct Brief				
papers						
considered						
Declarations/	None					
conflict of						
interest?						
Declaration of						
other councillor/						
officer						
consulted by						
the Cabinet						
member?						
List consultees		Name	Outcome	Date		
List solisaitees	Ward councillors	Hayleigh	Informed via email	13/05/2022		
	Traia ocariomore	Gascoigne,	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	10,00,2022		
		Sarah				
		Medley,				
		Richard				
		Webber,				
		Sally				
		Povolotsky, Andy Cooke,				
		Janet Shelley				
	Legal	Patrick Arran	Comments incorporated	11/05/2022		
	legal@southandval		·			
	e.gov.uk					
	Finance	Simon	Comments incorporated	13/05/2022		
	Finance@southan	Hewings				
	dvale.gov.uk	A I - O	A	40/05/0000		
	Procurement Climate and	Angela Cox Dominic	Agreed	12/05/2022		
	biodiversity	Lamb	We fully support the implementation of this	12/05/2022		
	climateaction@sou	Lamb	project. The aim of this			
	thandvale.gov.uk		project when realised will			
			help to reduce carbon			
			emissions in the DGT area			
			in accordance with both			
			Councils corporate			
	Divorcity and	Lyppo	objectives.	11/05/2022		
	Diversity and equality	Lynne Mitchell	Having improved network of cycle and walking paths will	11/05/2022		
	equalities@southa	WINCHICH	encourage more people to			
	ndvale.gov.uk		use them, particularly if the			
			surface is wheelchair and			
			pram friendly. Bear in mind			
			people with mobility issues			
			particularly those using			
			walking aids ideally need			

			seating/rest areas every 50metres.	
	Health and safety healthandsafety@s outhandvale.gov.uk	Debbie Porter	No comments at this stage	11/05/2022
	Risk and insurance risk@southandvale .gov.uk	Allison Holliday	Agreed	11/05/2022
	Communications communications@ southandvale.gov.u k	Emma East	No changes from me. I'll work with the DGT team on a communications plan for the scheme.	12/05/2022
	Senior Management Team ExecutiveSupportS AV@southandvale. gov.uk	Mark Stone, Simon Hewings, Andrew Down, Suzanne Malcolm, Adrianna Partridge	Document proofread and spelling mistakes corrected.  IDMC supported following updated financial comments from Simon Hewings.	13/05/2022
Confidential decision? If so, under which exempt category?	No			
Call-in waived by Scrutiny Committee chairman?	N/A			
Has this been discussed by Cabinet members?	Yes			
Leader's signature To confirm the decision as set out in this notice.	Signature Some Date17 May 20	122		

## ONCE SIGNED, THIS FORM MUST BE HANDED TO DEMOCRATIC SERVICES IMMEDIATELY.

For Democratic Services office use only				
Form received	Date: 18 May 2022	Time: 11.36		
Date published to all	Date: 18 May 2022			
councillors				
Call-in deadline	Date: not applicable	Time:		

## **Guidance notes**

- 1. This form must be completed by the lead officer who becomes the contact officer. The lead officer is responsible for ensuring that the necessary internal consultees have signed it off, including the chief executive. The lead officer must then seek the Cabinet portfolio holder's agreement and signature.
- 2. Once satisfied with the decision, the Cabinet portfolio holder must hand-sign and date the form and return it to the lead officer who should send it to Democratic Services immediately to allow the call-in period to commence.

Tel. 01235 422520 or extension 2520.

Email: democratic.services@southandvale.gov.uk

- 3. Democratic Services will then publish the decision to the website (unless it is confidential) and send it to all councillors to commence the call-in period (five clear working days) if it is a 'key' decision (see the definition of a 'key' decision below). A key decision cannot be implemented until the call-in period expires. The call-in procedure can be found in the council's constitution, part 4, under the Scrutiny Committee procedure rules.
- 4. Before implementing a key decision, the lead officer is responsible for checking with Democratic Services that the decision has not been called in.
- 5. If a key decision has been called in, Democratic Services will notify the lead officer and decision-maker. This call-in puts the decision on hold.
- 6. Democratic Services will liaise with the Scrutiny Committee chairman over the date of the call-in debate. The Cabinet portfolio holder will be requested to attend the Scrutiny Committee meeting to answer the committee's questions.
- 7. The Scrutiny Committee may:
  - refer the decision back to the Cabinet portfolio holder for reconsideration or
  - refer the matter to Council with an alternative set of proposals (where the final decision rests with full Council) or
  - accept the Cabinet portfolio holder's decision, in which case it can be implemented immediately.

## Key decisions: assessing whether a decision should be classified as 'key'

The South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils' Constitutions now have the same definition of a key decision:

A key decision is a decision of the Cabinet, an individual Cabinet member, or an officer acting under delegated powers, which is likely:

(a) to incur expenditure, make savings or to receive income of more than £75,000;

- (b) to award a revenue or capital grant of over £25,000; or
- (c) to agree an action that, in the view of the chief executive or relevant head of service, would be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising more than one ward in the area of the council.

Key decisions are subject to the scrutiny call-in procedure; non-key decisions are not and can be implemented immediately.

In assessing whether a decision should be classified as 'key', you should consider:

- (a) Will the expenditure, savings or income total more than £75,000 across all financial years?
- (b) Will the grant award to one person or organisation be more that £25,000 across all financial years?
- (c) Does the decision impact on more than one district council ward? And if so, is the impact significant? If residents or property affected by the decision is in one ward but is close to the border of an adjacent ward, it may have a significant impact on that second ward, e.g. through additional traffic, noise, light pollution, odour. Examples of significant impacts on two or more wards are:
  - Decisions to spend Didcot Garden Town funds (significant impact on more than one ward)
  - Changes to the household waste collection policy (affects all households in the district)
  - Reviewing a housing strategy (could have a significant impact on residents in many wards)
  - Adopting a supplementary planning document for a redevelopment site (could significantly affect more than one ward) or a new design guide (affects all wards)
  - Decisions to build new or improve existing leisure facilities (used by residents of more than one ward)

The overriding principle is that before 'key' decisions are made, they must be published in the Cabinet Work Programme for 28 calendar days. Classifying a decision as non-key when it should be a key decision could expose the decision to challenge and delay its implementation.